



Decision of the Council concerning the Mutual Acceptance of Data in the Assessment of Chemicals

**OECD Legal
Instruments**



This document is published under the responsibility of the Secretary-General of the OECD. It reproduces an OECD Legal Instrument and may contain additional material. The opinions expressed and arguments employed in the additional material do not necessarily reflect the official views of OECD Member countries.

This document, as well as any data and any map included herein, are without prejudice to the status of or sovereignty over any territory, to the delimitation of international frontiers and boundaries and to the name of any territory, city or area.

For access to the official and up-to-date texts of OECD Legal Instruments, as well as other related information, please consult the Compendium of OECD Legal Instruments at <http://legalinstruments.oecd.org>.

Please cite this document as:

OECD, *Decision of the Council concerning the Mutual Acceptance of Data in the Assessment of Chemicals*, OECD/LEGAL/0194

Series: OECD Legal Instruments

Photo credit: © OECD

© OECD 2025

This document is provided free of charge. It may be reproduced and distributed free of charge without requiring any further permissions, as long as it is not altered in any way. It may not be sold.

This document is available in the two OECD official languages (English and French). It may be translated into other languages, as long as the translation is labelled "unofficial translation" and includes the following disclaimer: "This translation has been prepared by [NAME OF TRANSLATION AUTHOR] for informational purpose only and its accuracy cannot be guaranteed by the OECD. The only official versions are the English and French texts available on the OECD website <http://legalinstruments.oecd.org>"

Background Information

The Decision concerning the Mutual Acceptance of Data in the Assessment of Chemicals (hereafter, “Decision”) was adopted by the OECD Council on 12 May 1981 on the proposal of the Joint Meeting of the High-Level Meeting of the Chemicals Group (now the Chemicals and Biotechnology Committee, CBC). According to the Decision, all data generated in the testing of chemicals in accordance with the OECD Guidelines for Testing of Chemicals (the “[Test Guidelines](#)”) and OECD Principles of [Good Laboratory Practice \(GLP\)](#) shall be accepted in other Members and non-Members having adhered to it (Adherents) for purposes of assessment. This Decision is part of the OECD MAD system, together with the Decision-Recommendation on Compliance with Principles of Good Laboratory Practice [[OECD/LEGAL/0252](#)] (hereafter, the “Decision-Recommendation”).

Quality data for regulatory decision-making and the long-standing role of the OECD in this area

The OECD has been a pioneer on mutual acceptance of data (MAD) in the assessment of chemicals since the adoption in 1981 of the first international standard in this area, and that it continues to lead in this area through the OECD MAD system, which provides Members and non-Members having adhered to the OECD MAD system a framework to ensure the generation of quality non-clinical data for regulatory decision-making on chemicals. At the same time, the MAD system is a mechanism for reducing duplication and sharing the work. The OECD MAD system helps to avoid conflicting or duplicative national requirements, providing a common basis for co-operation among national authorities and avoiding creating non-tariff barriers to trade. OECD Members and non-Members having adhered to the OECD MAD system have agreed that a safety test carried out in accordance with the Test Guidelines and OECD Principles of GLP in one Adherent must be accepted by other Adherents for assessment purposes. This is the concept of “tested once, accepted for assessment everywhere” (while the receiving Adherent must accept the study, how it interprets study results is its own prerogative). T

Additional benefits of MAD and the need for mutual acceptance of data in the assessment of chemicals

The chemicals industry is one of the largest industrial sectors in the world and poses many challenges for government regulators. Inefficient regulation would have costly implications for the environment, human health, government budgets and the continued growth of this important global industry. Not only can different regulatory approaches and requirements in individual countries create significant costs for the chemicals industry and for governments, they can also create barriers to trade. If national approaches to chemical regulation are harmonised, industry is not faced with a plethora of conflicting or duplicative requirements, governments are provided with a common basis for working with each other, and non-tariff barriers to trade are reduced.

The OECD MAD system saves the chemicals industry the expense of duplicate testing for products which are marketed in more than one country and was estimated in 2019 to save governments and industry more than 309 million Euros per year.

Scope of the Decision

The first part of the Decision, which is legally-binding, requires that data generated in an Adherent in accordance with OECD Test Guidelines and OECD Principles of GLP shall be accepted by other Adherents for purposes of assessment and other uses relating to the protection of human health and the environment. To that effect, the second part of the Decision, which is not legally binding, recommends that Adherents, in the testing of chemicals, apply the OECD Test Guidelines and the OECD Principles of GLP set forth respectively in Annexes I and II, which are integral parts of the Decision.

Development and update of the Test Guidelines

The OECD Guidelines for Testing of Chemicals ("the Test Guidelines") are the international standard for non-clinical health and environmental safety testing of chemicals and chemical products. The Test Guidelines are a collection of over 160 internationally agreed test methods that are used by governments, industry and independent laboratories to determine the safety of chemicals and chemical preparations, including pesticides, cosmetics, industrial chemicals and nanomaterials. They cover tests for the physical-chemical properties of chemicals, human health effects, environmental effects, degradation and accumulation in the environment, and for pesticide residues. The Test Guidelines are primarily used in regulatory safety testing and subsequent notification or registration of chemicals, pesticides, biocides, medical and veterinary products, cosmetics, or food and feed additives. They should not be confused with data requirements, which are the prerogative of national authorities. The Test Guidelines can also be used for a variety of other purposes, including the selection of new chemicals and chemical products as alternatives to hazardous ones or for further research. Conformity to OECD Test Guidelines is often used as a commercial argument for selling products, such as detergents, which have been tested according to these Guidelines before they are placed on the market. The Test Guidelines are developed or updated to meet countries' regulatory needs and to keep pace with scientific progress. To date, amendments to the Test Guidelines have been made almost every year.

On 9 April 2018, the Council authorised the then Joint Meeting of the Chemicals Committee and Working Party on Chemicals, Pesticides and Biotechnology (now CBC) to amend Annex I of the Decision which provides the Test Guidelines, with a view to ensure their efficient and effective implementation taking into account the purely technical nature of the Test Guidelines.

OECD Principles of GLP

The OECD Principles of GLP were first developed by an expert group in 1978. After a decade and a half of use by Adherents as recommended by the Decision, a revision was undertaken and resulted in the current OECD Principles of GLP, which were adopted by Council in 1997.

Contact information: ehs.contact@oecd.org.

THE COUNCIL,

HAVING REGARD to Articles 2 a), 2 d), 3, 5 a) and 5 b) of the Convention on the Organisation for Economic Co-operation and Development of 14 December 1960;

HAVING REGARD to the Recommendation of the Council of 26 May 1972, on Guiding Principles concerning International Economic Aspects of Environmental Policies [C(72)128];

HAVING REGARD to the Recommendation of the Council of 14 November 1974, on the Assessment of the Potential Environmental Effects of Chemicals [C(74)215];

HAVING REGARD to the Recommendation of the Council of 26 August 1976, concerning Safety Controls over Cosmetics and Household Products [C(76)144(Final)];

HAVING REGARD to the Recommendation of the Council of 7 July 1977, establishing Guidelines in respect of Procedure and Requirements for Anticipating the Effects of Chemicals on Man and in the Environment [C(77)97(Final)];

HAVING REGARD to the Decision of the Council of 21 September 1978, concerning a Special Programme on the Control of Chemicals and the Programme of Work established therein [C(78)127(Final)];

HAVING REGARD to the Conclusions of the First High-Level Meeting of the Chemicals Group of 19 May 1980, dealing with the control of health and environmental effects of chemicals [ENV/CHEM/HLM/80.M/1];

CONSIDERING the need for concerted action amongst OECD Member countries to protect man and his environment from exposure to hazardous chemicals;

CONSIDERING the importance of international production and trade in chemicals and the mutual economic and trade advantages which accrue to OECD Member countries from harmonization of policies for chemicals control;

CONSIDERING the need to minimise the cost burden associated with testing chemicals and the need to utilise more effectively scarce test facilities and specialist manpower in Member countries;

CONSIDERING the need to encourage the generation of valid and high quality test data and noting the significant actions taken in this regard by OECD Member countries through provisional application of OECD Test Guidelines and OECD Principles of Good Laboratory Practice;

CONSIDERING the need for and benefits of mutual acceptance in OECD countries of test data used in the assessment of chemicals and other uses relating to protection of man and the environment;

On the proposal of the High-Level Meeting of the Chemicals Group, endorsed by the Environment Committee;

PART I

I. **DECIDES** that data generated in the testing of chemicals in an OECD Member country in accordance with OECD Test Guidelines and OECD Principles of Good Laboratory Practice shall be accepted in other Member countries for purposes of assessment and other uses relating to the protection of man and the environment.

II. **DECIDES** that for the purposes of this Decision and other Council actions the terms OECD Test Guidelines and OECD Principles of Good Laboratory Practice shall mean guidelines and principles adopted by the Council.

III. **INSTRUCTS** the Environment Committee to review action taken by Member countries in pursuance of this Decision and to report periodically thereon to the Council.

IV. INSTRUCTS the Environment Committee to pursue a programme of work designed to facilitate implementation of this Decision with a view to establishing further agreement on assessment and control of chemicals within Member countries.

PART II

To implement the Decision set forth in Part I:

I. RECOMMENDS that Member countries, in the testing of chemicals, apply the OECD Test Guidelines and the OECD Principles of Good Laboratory Practice, set forth respectively in Annexes I and II which are integral parts of this text.

II. INSTRUCTS the Management Committee of the Special Programme on the Control of Chemicals in conjunction with the Chemicals Group of the Environment Committee to establish an updating mechanism to ensure that the aforementioned Test Guidelines are modified from time to time, as required, through the revision of existing Guidelines or the development of new Guidelines.

III. INSTRUCTS the Management Committee of the Special Programme on the Control of Chemicals to pursue its programme of work in such a manner as to facilitate internationally-harmonized approaches to assuring compliance with the OECD Principles of Good Laboratory Practice and to report periodically thereon to the Council.

ANNEX I

OECD TEST GUIDELINES

These Guidelines have been published under the reference C(81)30, Annex I and Addenda. This document, which is updated periodically, can be purchased from the OECD Publications Service and is online at: <http://www.oecd.org/env/ehs/testing/oecdguidelinesforthetestingofchemicals.htm>.

LIST OF AMENDMENTS TO THE DECISION OF THE COUNCIL C(81)30/FINAL CONCERNING TEST GUIDELINES

26 May 1983 - Addendum 2 to C(81)30(Final) (6 new Guidelines)

4 April 1984 - Addendum 3 to C(81)30(Final) (13 new Guidelines and 2 updated)

7 June 1984 - Addendum 4 to C(81)30(Final) (1 updated Guideline)

23 October 1986 - Addendum 5 to C(81)30(Final) (7 new Guidelines)

24 February 1987 - Addendum 6 to C(81)30(Final) (3 updated Guidelines)

30 March 1989 - C(89)23(Final) (1 new Guideline)

17 July 1992 - C(92)102/FINAL (3 new Guidelines and 5 updated)

27 July 1995 - C(95)106/FINAL (1 new Guideline and 10 updated)

22 March 1996 - C(96)14/FINAL (2 new Guidelines)

17 June 1996 - C(96)58/FINAL (3 new Guidelines and 1 updated)

21 July 1997 - C(97)94/FINAL (2 new Guidelines and 6 updated)

21 September 1998 - C(98)142/FINAL (4 new Guidelines and 3 updated)

21 January 2000 - C(99)189/FINAL (3 new Guidelines and 2 updated)

22 January 2001 - C(2000)228 (1 new Guideline and 3 updated)

17 December 2001 - C(2001)282 (3 updated Guidelines and 1 deleted)

24 April 2002 - C(2002)76 (3 new Guidelines and 2 updated)

13 April 2004 - C(2004)28 (11 new Guidelines and 3 updated)

23 March 2006 - C(2006)13 (4 new Guidelines and 3 updated)

19 July 2006 - C(2006)101 (2 new Guidelines and 1 updated)

8 January 2007 - C(2006)169 (6 new Guidelines and new Section 5: Other Test Guidelines - Part A: Pesticide Residue Chemistry)

16 October 2007 - C(2007)107 (6 new Guidelines)

3 October 2008 - C(2008)143 (6 new Guidelines, 2 updated and 1 corrected)

7 September 2009 - C(2009)103 (10 new Guidelines, 6 updated and 1 corrected)

22 July 2010 - C(2010)97 (7 new Guidelines, 3 updated and 1 corrected)

28 July 2011 - C(2011)101 (5 new Guidelines and 1 corrected)

2 October 2012 - C(2012)111 (2 new Guidelines, 7 updated, 1 corrected and 7 deleted)

26 July 2013 - C(2013)78 (3 new Guidelines, 8 updated and 1 corrected)

26 September 2014 - C(2014)104 (3 new Guidelines, 5 updated and 1 corrected)

4 February 2015 - C(2015)16 (2 new Guidelines)

27 July 2015 - C(2015)94 (6 new Guidelines and 11 updated)

27 September 2016 - C(2016)103 (4 new Guidelines, 10 updated, 10 corrected and 1 deleted)

6 September 2019 – C(2019)12 (2 new Guidelines, 6 updated)

ANNEX II

OECD PRINCIPLES OF GOOD LABORATORY PRACTICE

(as revised in 1997)

SECTION I: INTRODUCTION

Preface

Government and industry are concerned about the quality of non-clinical health and environmental safety studies upon which hazard assessments are based. As a consequence, OECD Member countries have established criteria for the performance of these studies.

To avoid different schemes of implementation that could impede international trade in chemicals, OECD Member countries have pursued international harmonisation of test methods and good laboratory practice. In 1979 and 1980, an international group of experts established under the Special Programme on the Control of Chemicals developed the "OECD Principles of Good Laboratory Practice" (GLP), utilising common managerial and scientific practices and experience from various national and international sources. These Principles of GLP were adopted by the OECD Council in 1981, as an Annex to the Council Decision on the Mutual Acceptance of Data in the Assessment of Chemicals [C(81)30(Final)].

In 1995 and 1996, a new group of experts was formed to revise and update the Principles. The current document is the result of the consensus reached by that group. It cancels and replaces the original Principles adopted in 1981.

The purpose of these Principles of Good Laboratory Practice is to promote the development of quality test data. Comparable quality of test data forms the basis for the mutual acceptance of data among countries. If individual countries can confidently rely on test data developed in other countries, duplicative testing can be avoided, thereby saving time and resources. The application of these Principles should help to avoid the creation of technical barriers to trade, and further improve the protection of human health and the environment.

1. Scope

These Principles of Good Laboratory Practice should be applied to the non-clinical safety testing of test items contained in pharmaceutical products, pesticide products, cosmetic products, veterinary drugs as well as food additives, feed additives, and industrial chemicals. These test items are frequently synthetic chemicals, but may be of natural or biological origin and, in some circumstances, may be living organisms. The purpose of testing these test items is to obtain data on their properties and/or their safety with respect to human health and/or the environment.

Non-clinical health and environmental safety studies covered by the Principles of Good Laboratory Practice include work conducted in the laboratory, in greenhouses, and in the field.

Unless specifically exempted by national legislation, these Principles of Good Laboratory Practice apply to all non-clinical health and environmental safety studies required by regulations for the purpose of registering or licensing pharmaceuticals, pesticides, food and feed additives, cosmetic products, veterinary drug products and similar products, and for the regulation of industrial chemicals.

2. Definitions of Terms

2.1 Good Laboratory Practice

1. *Good Laboratory Practice* (GLP) is a quality system concerned with the organisational process and the conditions under which non-clinical health and environmental safety studies are planned, performed, monitored, recorded, archived and reported.

2.2 Terms concerning the Organisation of a Test Facility

1. *Test facility* means the persons, premises and operational unit(s) that are necessary for conducting the non-clinical health and environmental safety study. For multi-site studies, those which are conducted at more than one site, the test facility comprises the site at which the Study Director is located and all individual test sites, which individually or collectively can be considered to be test facilities.
2. *Test site* means the location(s) at which a phase(s) of a study is conducted.
3. *Test facility management* means the person(s) who has the authority and formal responsibility for the organisation and functioning of the test facility according to these Principles of Good Laboratory Practice.
4. *Test site management* (if appointed) means the person(s) responsible for ensuring that the phase(s) of the study, for which he is responsible, are conducted according to these Principles of Good Laboratory Practice.
5. *Sponsor* means an entity which commissions, supports and/or submits a non-clinical health and environmental safety study.
6. *Study Director* means the individual responsible for the overall conduct of the non-clinical health and environmental safety study.
7. *Principal Investigator* means an individual who, for a multi-site study, acts on behalf of the Study Director and has defined responsibility for delegated phases of the study. The Study Director's responsibility for the overall conduct of the study cannot be delegated to the Principal Investigator(s); this includes approval of the study plan and its amendments, approval of the final report, and ensuring that all applicable Principles of Good Laboratory Practice are followed.
8. *Quality Assurance Programme* means a defined system, including personnel, which is independent of study conduct and is designed to assure test facility management of compliance with these Principles of Good Laboratory Practice.
9. *Standard Operating Procedures* (SOPs) means documented procedures which describe how to perform tests or activities normally not specified in detail in study plans or test guidelines.
10. *Master schedule* means a compilation of information to assist in the assessment of workload and for the tracking of studies at a test facility.

2.3 Terms concerning the Non-clinical Health and Environmental Safety Study

1. *Non-clinical health and environmental safety study*, henceforth referred to simply as "study", means an experiment or set of experiments in which a test item is examined under laboratory conditions or in the environment to obtain data on its properties and/or its safety, intended for submission to appropriate regulatory authorities.
2. *Short-term study* means a study of short duration with widely used, routine techniques.
3. *Study plan* means a document which defines the objectives and experimental design for the conduct of the study, and includes any amendments.
4. *Study plan amendment* means an intended change to the study plan after the study initiation date.
5. *Study plan deviation* means an unintended departure from the study plan after the study initiation date.
6. *Test system* means any biological, chemical or physical system or a combination thereof used in a study.

7. *Raw data* means all original test facility records and documentation, or verified copies thereof, which are the result of the original observations and activities in a study. Raw data also may include, for example, photographs, microfilm or microfiche copies, computer readable media, dictated observations, recorded data from automated instruments, or any other data storage medium that has been recognised as capable of providing secure storage of information for a time period as stated in section 10 below.

8. *Specimen* means any material derived from a test system for examination, analysis, or retention.

9. *Experimental starting date* means the date on which the first study specific data are collected.

10. *Experimental completion date* means the last date on which data are collected from the study.

11. *Study initiation date* means the date the Study Director signs the study plan.

12. *Study completion date* means the date the Study Director signs the final report.

2.4 Terms concerning the Test Item

1. *Test item* means an article that is the subject of a study.

2. *Reference item ("control item")* means any article used to provide a basis for comparison with the test item.

3. *Batch* means a specific quantity or lot of a test item or reference item produced during a defined cycle of manufacture in such a way that it could be expected to be of a uniform character and should be designated as such.

4. *Vehicle* means any agent which serves as a carrier used to mix, disperse, or solubilise the test item or reference item to facilitate the administration/application to the test system.

SECTION II: GOOD LABORATORY PRACTICE PRINCIPLES

1. Test Facility Organisation and Personnel

1.1 Test Facility Management's Responsibilities

1. Each test facility management should ensure that these Principles of Good Laboratory Practice are complied with, in its test facility.

2. At a minimum it should:

- a) Ensure that a statement exists which identifies the individual(s) within a test facility who fulfill the responsibilities of management as defined by these Principles of Good Laboratory Practice;
- b) Ensure that a sufficient number of qualified personnel, appropriate facilities, equipment, and materials are available for the timely and proper conduct of the study;
- c) Ensure the maintenance of a record of the qualifications, training, experience and job description for each professional and technical individual;
- d) Ensure that personnel clearly understand the functions they are to perform and, where necessary, provide training for these functions;
- e) Ensure that appropriate and technically valid Standard Operating Procedures are established and followed, and approve all original and revised Standard Operating Procedures;
- f) Ensure that there is a Quality Assurance Programme with designated personnel and assure that the quality assurance responsibility is being performed in accordance with these Principles of Good Laboratory Practice;

- g) Ensure that for each study an individual with the appropriate qualifications, training, and experience is designated by the management as the Study Director before the study is initiated. Replacement of a Study Director should be done according to established procedures, and should be documented;
- h) Ensure, in the event of a multi-site study, that, if needed, a Principal Investigator is designated, who is appropriately trained, qualified and experienced to supervise the delegated phase(s) of the study. Replacement of a Principal Investigator should be done according to established procedures, and should be documented;
- i) Ensure documented approval of the study plan by the Study Director;
- j) Ensure that the Study Director has made the approved study plan available to the Quality Assurance personnel;
- k) Ensure the maintenance of an historical file of all Standard Operating Procedures;
- l) Ensure that an individual is identified as responsible for the management of the archive(s);
- m) Ensure the maintenance of a master schedule;
- n) Ensure that test facility supplies meet requirements appropriate to their use in a study;
- o) Ensure for a multi-site study that clear lines of communication exist between the Study Director, Principal Investigator(s), the Quality Assurance Programme(s) and study personnel;
- p) Ensure that test and reference items are appropriately characterised;
- q) Establish procedures to ensure that computerised systems are suitable for their intended purpose, and are validated, operated and maintained in accordance with these Principles of Good Laboratory Practice.

3. When a phase(s) of a study is conducted at a test site, test site management (if appointed) will have the responsibilities as defined above with the following exceptions: 1.1.2 g), i), j) and o).

1.2 *Study Director's Responsibilities*

1. The Study Director is the single point of study control and has the responsibility for the overall conduct of the study and for its final report.

2. These responsibilities should include, but not be limited to, the following functions. The Study Director should:

- a) Approve the study plan and any amendments to the study plan by dated signature;
- b) Ensure that the Quality Assurance personnel have a copy of the study plan and any amendments in a timely manner and communicate effectively with the Quality Assurance personnel as required during the conduct of the study;
- c) Ensure that study plans and amendments and Standard Operating Procedures are available to study personnel;
- d) Ensure that the study plan and the final report for a multi-site study identify and define the role of any Principal Investigator(s) and any test facilities and test sites involved in the conduct of the study;
- e) Ensure that the procedures specified in the study plan are followed, and assess and document the impact of any deviations from the study plan on the quality and integrity of the study, and take appropriate corrective action if necessary; acknowledge deviations from Standard Operating Procedures during the conduct of the study;
- f) Ensure that all raw data generated are fully documented and recorded;
- g) Ensure that computerised systems used in the study have been validated;
- h) Sign and date the final report to indicate acceptance of responsibility for the validity of the data and to indicate the extent to which the study complies with these Principles of Good Laboratory Practice;

- i) Ensure that after completion (including termination) of the study, the study plan, the final report, raw data and supporting material are archived.

1.3 Principal Investigator's Responsibilities

The Principal Investigator will ensure that the delegated phases of the study are conducted in accordance with the applicable Principles of Good Laboratory Practice.

1.4 Study Personnel's Responsibilities

1. All personnel involved in the conduct of the study must be knowledgeable in those parts of the Principles of Good Laboratory Practice which are applicable to their involvement in the study.
2. Study personnel will have access to the study plan and appropriate Standard Operating Procedures applicable to their involvement in the study. It is their responsibility to comply with the instructions given in these documents. Any deviation from these instructions should be documented and communicated directly to the Study Director, and/or if appropriate, the Principal Investigator(s).
3. All study personnel are responsible for recording raw data promptly and accurately and in compliance with these Principles of Good Laboratory Practice, and are responsible for the quality of their data.
4. Study personnel should exercise health precautions to minimise risk to themselves and to ensure the integrity of the study. They should communicate to the appropriate person any relevant known health or medical condition in order that they can be excluded from operations that may affect the study.

2. Quality Assurance Programme

2.1 General

1. The test facility should have a documented Quality Assurance Programme to assure that studies performed are in compliance with these Principles of Good Laboratory Practice.
2. The Quality Assurance Programme should be carried out by an individual or by individuals designated by and directly responsible to management and who are familiar with the test procedures.
3. This individual(s) should not be involved in the conduct of the study being assured.

2.2 Responsibilities of the Quality Assurance Personnel

1. The responsibilities of the Quality Assurance personnel include, but are not limited to, the following functions. They should:
 - a) Maintain copies of all approved study plans and Standard Operating Procedures in use in the test facility and have access to an up-to-date copy of the master schedule;
 - b) Verify that the study plan contains the information required for compliance with these Principles of Good Laboratory Practice. This verification should be documented;
 - c) Conduct inspections to determine if all studies are conducted in accordance with these Principles of Good Laboratory Practice. Inspections should also determine that study plans and Standard Operating Procedures have been made available to study personnel and are being followed.

Inspections can be of three types as specified by Quality Assurance Programme Standard Operating Procedures:

- Study-based inspections,
- Facility-based inspections,

- Process-based inspections.

Records of such inspections should be retained.

d) Inspect the final reports to confirm that the methods, procedures, and observations are accurately and completely described, and that the reported results accurately and completely reflect the raw data of the studies;

e) Promptly report any inspection results in writing to management and to the Study Director, and to the Principal Investigator(s) and the respective management, when applicable;

f) Prepare and sign a statement, to be included with the final report, which specifies types of inspections and their dates, including the phase(s) of the study inspected, and the dates inspection results were reported to management and the Study Director and Principal Investigator(s), if applicable. This statement would also serve to confirm that the final report reflects the raw data.

3. Facilities

3.1 General

1. The test facility should be of suitable size, construction and location to meet the requirements of the study and to minimise disturbance that would interfere with the validity of the study.
2. The design of the test facility should provide an adequate degree of separation of the different activities to assure the proper conduct of each study.

3.2 Test System Facilities

1. The test facility should have a sufficient number of rooms or areas to assure the isolation of test systems and the isolation of individual projects, involving substances or organisms known to be or suspected of being biohazardous.
2. Suitable rooms or areas should be available for the diagnosis, treatment and control of diseases, in order to ensure that there is no unacceptable degree of deterioration of test systems.
3. There should be storage rooms or areas as needed for supplies and equipment. Storage rooms or areas should be separated from rooms or areas housing the test systems and should provide adequate protection against infestation, contamination, and/or deterioration.

3.3 Facilities for Handling Test and Reference Items

1. To prevent contamination or mix-ups, there should be separate rooms or areas for receipt and storage of the test and reference items, and mixing of the test items with a vehicle.
2. Storage rooms or areas for the test items should be separate from rooms or areas containing the test systems. They should be adequate to preserve identity, concentration, purity, and stability, and ensure safe storage for hazardous substances.

3.4 Archive Facilities

Archive facilities should be provided for the secure storage and retrieval of study plans, raw data, final reports, samples of test items and specimens. Archive design and archive conditions should protect contents from untimely deterioration.

3.5 Waste Disposal

Handling and disposal of wastes should be carried out in such a way as not to jeopardise the integrity of studies. This includes provision for appropriate collection, storage and disposal facilities, and decontamination and transportation procedures.

4. Apparatus, Material, and Reagents

1. Apparatus, including validated computerised systems, used for the generation, storage and retrieval of data, and for controlling environmental factors relevant to the study should be suitably located and of appropriate design and adequate capacity.
2. Apparatus used in a study should be periodically inspected, cleaned, maintained, and calibrated according to Standard Operating Procedures. Records of these activities should be maintained. Calibration should, where appropriate, be traceable to national or international standards of measurement.
3. Apparatus and materials used in a study should not interfere adversely with the test systems.
4. Chemicals, reagents, and solutions should be labelled to indicate identity (with concentration if appropriate), expiry date and specific storage instructions. Information concerning source, preparation date and stability should be available. The expiry date may be extended on the basis of documented evaluation or analysis.

5. Test Systems**5.1 *Physical/Chemical***

1. Apparatus used for the generation of physical/chemical data should be suitably located and of appropriate design and adequate capacity.
2. The integrity of the physical/chemical test systems should be ensured.

5.2 *Biological*

1. Proper conditions should be established and maintained for the storage, housing, handling and care of biological test systems, in order to ensure the quality of the data.
2. Newly received animal and plant test systems should be isolated until their health status has been evaluated. If any unusual mortality or morbidity occurs, this lot should not be used in studies and, when appropriate, should be humanely destroyed. At the experimental starting date of a study, test systems should be free of any disease or condition that might interfere with the purpose or conduct of the study. Test systems that become diseased or injured during the course of a study should be isolated and treated, if necessary to maintain the integrity of the study. Any diagnosis and treatment of any disease before or during a study should be recorded.
3. Records of source, date of arrival, and arrival condition of test systems should be maintained.
4. Biological test systems should be acclimatised to the test environment for an adequate period before the first administration/application of the test or reference item.
5. All information needed to properly identify the test systems should appear on their housing or containers. Individual test systems that are to be removed from their housing or containers during the conduct of the study should bear appropriate identification, wherever possible.
6. During use, housing or containers for test systems should be cleaned and sanitised at appropriate intervals. Any material that comes into contact with the test system should be free of contaminants at levels that would interfere with the study. Bedding for animals should be changed as required by sound husbandry practice. Use of pest control agents should be documented.
7. Test systems used in field studies should be located so as to avoid interference in the study from spray drift and from past usage of pesticides.

6. Test and Reference Items**6.1 *Receipt, Handling, Sampling and Storage***

1. Records including test item and reference item characterisation, date of receipt, expiry date, quantities received and used in studies should be maintained.
2. Handling, sampling, and storage procedures should be identified in order that the homogeneity and stability are assured to the degree possible and contamination or mix-up are precluded.
3. Storage container(s) should carry identification information, expiry date, and specific storage instructions.

6.2 *Characterisation*

1. Each test and reference item should be appropriately identified (e.g., code, Chemical Abstracts Service Registry Number [CAS number], name, biological parameters).
2. For each study, the identity, including batch number, purity, composition, concentrations, or other characteristics to appropriately define each batch of the test or reference items should be known.
3. In cases where the test item is supplied by the sponsor, there should be a mechanism, developed in co-operation between the sponsor and the test facility, to verify the identity of the test item subject to the study.
4. The stability of test and reference items under storage and test conditions should be known for all studies.
5. If the test item is administered or applied in a vehicle, the homogeneity, concentration and stability of the test item in that vehicle should be determined. For test items used in field studies (e.g., tank mixes) these may be determined through separate laboratory experiments.
6. A sample for analytical purposes from each batch of test item should be retained for all studies except short-term studies.

7. *Standard Operating Procedures*

- 7.1. A test facility should have written Standard Operating Procedures approved by test facility management that are intended to ensure the quality and integrity of the data generated by that test facility. Revisions to Standard Operating Procedures should be approved by test facility management.
- 7.2. Each separate test facility unit or area should have immediately available current Standard Operating Procedures relevant to the activities being performed therein. Published text books, analytical methods, articles and manuals may be used as supplements to these Standard Operating Procedures.
- 7.3. Deviations from Standard Operating Procedures related to the study should be documented and should be acknowledged by the Study Director and the Principal Investigator(s), as applicable.
- 7.4. Standard Operating Procedures should be available for, but not be limited to, the following categories of test facility activities. The details given under each heading are to be considered as illustrative examples.

1. Test and Reference Items

Receipt, identification, labelling, handling, sampling and storage.

2. Apparatus, Materials and Reagents

- a) *Apparatus*: use, maintenance, cleaning and calibration
- b) *Computerised Systems*: validation, operation, maintenance, security, change control and back-up
- c) *Materials, Reagents and Solutions*: preparation and labelling

3. Record Keeping, Reporting, Storage, and Retrieval

Coding of studies, data collection, preparation of reports, indexing systems, handling of data, including the use of computerised systems.

4. Test System (where appropriate)

- a) Room preparation and environmental room conditions for the test system.
- b) Procedures for receipt, transfer, proper placement, characterisation, identification and care of the test system.
- c) Test system preparation, observations and examinations, before, during and at the conclusion of the study.
- d) Handling of test system individuals found moribund or dead during the study.
- e) Collection, identification and handling of specimens including necropsy and histopathology.
- f) Siting and placement of test systems in test plots.

5. Quality Assurance Procedures

Operation of Quality Assurance personnel in planning, scheduling, performing, documenting and reporting inspections.

8. Performance of the Study

8.1 Study Plan

1. For each study, a written plan should exist prior to the initiation of the study. The study plan should be approved by dated signature of the Study Director and verified for GLP compliance by Quality Assurance personnel as specified in Section 2.2.1.b., above. The study plan should also be approved by the test facility management and the sponsor, if required by national regulation or legislation in the country where the study is being performed.

2.

- a) Amendments to the study plan should be justified and approved by dated signature of the Study Director and maintained with the study plan.
- b) Deviations from the study plan should be described, explained, acknowledged and dated in a timely fashion by the Study Director and/or Principal Investigator(s) and maintained with the study raw data.

3. For short-term studies, a general study plan accompanied by a study specific supplement may be used.

8.2 Content of the Study Plan

The study plan should contain, but not be limited to the following information:

1. Identification of the Study, the Test Item and Reference Item

- a) A descriptive title;
- b) A statement which reveals the nature and purpose of the study;
- c) Identification of the test item by code or name (IUPAC; CAS number, biological parameters, etc.);
- d) The reference item to be used.

2. Information Concerning the Sponsor and the Test Facility

- a) Name and address of the sponsor;
- b) Name and address of any test facilities and test sites involved;
- c) Name and address of the Study Director;
- d) Name and address of the Principal Investigator(s), and the phase(s) of the study delegated by the Study Director and under the responsibility of the Principal Investigator(s).

3. Dates

- a) The date of approval of the study plan by signature of the Study Director. The date of approval of the study plan by signature of the test facility management and sponsor if required by national regulation or legislation in the country where the study is being performed.
- b) The proposed experimental starting and completion dates.

4. Test Methods

Reference to the OECD Test Guideline or other test guideline or method to be used.

5. Issues (where applicable)

- a) The justification for selection of the test system;
- b) Characterisation of the test system, such as the species, strain, substrain, source of supply, number, body weight range, sex, age and other pertinent information;
- c) The method of administration and the reason for its choice;
- d) The dose levels and/or concentration(s), frequency, and duration of administration/application;
- e) Detailed information on the experimental design, including a description of the chronological procedure of the study, all methods, materials and conditions, type and frequency of analysis, measurements, observations and examinations to be performed, and statistical methods to be used (if any).

6. Records

A list of records to be retained.

8.3 *Conduct of the Study*

1. A unique identification should be given to each study. All items concerning this study should carry this identification. Specimens from the study should be identified to confirm their origin. Such identification should enable traceability, as appropriate for the specimen and study.
2. The study should be conducted in accordance with the study plan.
3. All data generated during the conduct of the study should be recorded directly, promptly, accurately, and legibly by the individual entering the data. These entries should be signed or initialled and dated.
4. Any change in the raw data should be made so as not to obscure the previous entry, should indicate the reason for change and should be dated and signed or initialled by the individual making the change.
5. Data generated as a direct computer input should be identified at the time of data input by the individual(s) responsible for direct data entries. Computerised system design should always provide for the retention of full audit trails to show all changes to the data without obscuring the original data. It should be possible to associate all changes to data with the persons having made those changes, for example, by use of timed and dated (electronic) signatures. Reason for changes should be given.

9. Reporting of Study Results

9.1 General

1. A final report should be prepared for each study. In the case of short term studies, a standardised final report accompanied by a study specific extension may be prepared.
2. Reports of Principal Investigators or scientists involved in the study should be signed and dated by them.
3. The final report should be signed and dated by the Study Director to indicate acceptance of responsibility for the validity of the data. The extent of compliance with these Principles of Good Laboratory Practice should be indicated.
4. Corrections and additions to a final report should be in the form of amendments. Amendments should clearly specify the reason for the corrections or additions and should be signed and dated by the Study Director.
5. Reformatting of the final report to comply with the submission requirements of a national registration or regulatory authority does not constitute a correction, addition or amendment to the final report.

9.2 Content of the Final Report

The final report should include, but not be limited to, the following information:

1. Identification of the Study, the Test Item and Reference Item
 - a) A descriptive title;
 - b) Identification of the test item by code or name (IUPAC, CAS number, biological parameters, etc.);
 - c) Identification of the reference item by name;
 - d) Characterisation of the test item including purity, stability and homogeneity.
2. Information Concerning the Sponsor and the Test Facility
 - a) Name and address of the sponsor;
 - b) Name and address of any test facilities and test sites involved;
 - c) Name and address of the Study Director;
 - d) Name and address of the Principal Investigator(s) and the phase(s) of the study delegated, if applicable;
 - e) Name and address of scientists having contributed reports to the final report.
3. Dates

Experimental starting and completion dates.
4. Statement

A Quality Assurance Programme statement listing the types of inspections made and their dates, including the phase(s) inspected, and the dates any inspection results were reported to management and to the Study Director and Principal Investigator(s), if applicable. This statement would also serve to confirm that the final report reflects the raw data.

5. Description of Materials and Test Methods

- a) Description of methods and materials used;
- b) Reference to OECD Test Guideline or other test guideline or method.

6. Results

- a) A summary of results;
- b) All information and data required by the study plan;
- c) A presentation of the results, including calculations and determinations of statistical significance;
- d) An evaluation and discussion of the results and, where appropriate, conclusions.

7. Storage

The location(s) where the study plan, samples of test and reference items, specimens, raw data and the final report are to be stored.

10. Storage and Retention of Records and Materials

10.1 The following should be retained in the archives for the period specified by the appropriate

- a) The study plan, raw data, samples of test and reference items, specimens, and the final report of each study;
- b) Records of all inspections performed by the Quality Assurance Programme, as well as master schedules;
- c) Records of qualifications, training, experience and job descriptions of personnel;
- d) Records and reports of the maintenance and calibration of apparatus;
- e) Validation documentation for computerised systems;
- f) The historical file of all Standard Operating Procedures;
- g) Environmental monitoring records.

In the absence of a required retention period, the final disposition of any study materials should be documented. When samples of test and reference items and specimens are disposed of before the expiry of the required retention period for any reason, this should be justified and documented. Samples of test and reference items and specimens should be retained only as long as the quality of the preparation permits evaluation.

10.2 Material retained in the archives should be indexed so as to facilitate orderly storage and retrieval.

10.3 Only personnel authorised by management should have access to the archives. Movement of material in and out of the archives should be properly recorded.

10.4 If a test facility or an archive contracting facility goes out of business and has no legal successor, the archive should be transferred to the archives of the sponsor(s) of the study(s).

About the OECD

The OECD is a unique forum where governments work together to address the economic, social and environmental challenges of globalisation. The OECD is also at the forefront of efforts to understand and to help governments respond to new developments and concerns, such as corporate governance, the information economy and the challenges of an ageing population. The Organisation provides a setting where governments can compare policy experiences, seek answers to common problems, identify good practice and work to co-ordinate domestic and international policies.

The OECD Member countries are: Australia, Austria, Belgium, Canada, Chile, Colombia, Costa Rica, the Czech Republic, Denmark, Estonia, Finland, France, Germany, Greece, Hungary, Iceland, Ireland, Israel, Italy, Japan, Korea, Latvia, Lithuania, Luxembourg, Mexico, the Netherlands, New Zealand, Norway, Poland, Portugal, the Slovak Republic, Slovenia, Spain, Sweden, Switzerland, Türkiye, the United Kingdom and the United States. The European Union takes part in the work of the OECD.

OECD Legal Instruments

Since the creation of the OECD in 1961, around 460 substantive legal instruments have been developed within its framework. These include OECD Acts (i.e. the Decisions and Recommendations adopted by the OECD Council in accordance with the OECD Convention) and other legal instruments developed within the OECD framework (e.g. Declarations, international agreements).

All substantive OECD legal instruments, whether in force or abrogated, are listed in the online Compendium of OECD Legal Instruments. They are presented in five categories:

- **Decisions** are adopted by Council and are legally binding on all Members except those which abstain at the time of adoption. They set out specific rights and obligations and may contain monitoring mechanisms.
- **Recommendations** are adopted by Council and are not legally binding. They represent a political commitment to the principles they contain and entail an expectation that Adherents will do their best to implement them.
- **Substantive Outcome Documents** are adopted by the individual listed Adherents rather than by an OECD body, as the outcome of a ministerial, high-level or other meeting within the framework of the Organisation. They usually set general principles or long-term goals and have a solemn character.
- **International Agreements** are negotiated and concluded within the framework of the Organisation. They are legally binding on the Parties.
- **Arrangement, Understanding and Others:** several other types of substantive legal instruments have been developed within the OECD framework over time, such as the Arrangement on Officially Supported Export Credits, the International Understanding on Maritime Transport Principles and the Development Assistance Committee (DAC) Recommendations.